

Appl. No. 10/709,937  
Amtd. dated November 17, 2005  
Reply to Office action of September 07, 2005

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

1. Rejection of claims 1-2, 6, and 11-12 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e):

Claims 1-2, 6, and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hendrickson et al (US 6,754,470, hereinafter Hendrickson).

5

**Response:**

10

Claim 1 has been amended to overcome this rejection. Claim 1 now specifies that the user interface of the cellular phone is controlled according to the statistics and the time counted by the clock. This amendment is fully supported by the specification, and no new matter is added. The amended claim now reflects that statistics of work previously performed and the time that they were performed are taken into account for controlling the user interface of the cellular phone.

15

On the other hand, Hendrickson teaches a method for gathering usage statistics of a wireless devices such as cellular phones. Hendrickson's Fig.1 shows that a control center 120 is used to gather statistics from a plurality of wireless devices 100. Hendrickson teaches that the control center 120 gathers many different kinds of statistics, such as the types of events performed by the wireless devices 100, and information pertaining to how each wireless device 100 is used. However, 20 Hendrickson does not teach that the user interfaces of the wireless devices 100 are controlled according to the statistics taken. The only way in which Hendrickson teaches control of the wireless devices 10 is that the wireless devices are controlled to provide statistics to the control center 120. However, Hendrickson does not teach controlling the phone according to the statistics that have already been taken.

25

Therefore, since Hendrickson does not teach the claimed limitation of "controlling a user interface of the cellular phone according to the statistics and the

Appl. No. 10/709,937  
Amdt. dated November 17, 2005  
Reply to Office action of September 07, 2005

time counted by the clock", Hendrickson does not anticipate all limitations contained in the currently amended claim 1. Claims 2-12 are dependent on claim 1, and should be allowed if claim 1 is allowed. Reconsideration of claims 1-12 is respectfully requested.

5

2. Introduction to new claims 13-16:

New claims 13-16 specify different ways in which the user interface of the cellular phone are controlled, such as powering on the cellular phone, providing the user with a reminder about a normally scheduled event, downloading email, and 10 downloading predetermined website data at specific times calculated according to the statistics taken and the time counted by the clock. Each of these new claims is fully supported in the specification and in figures 2-4. No new matter is added through these changes. None of the cited prior art references teach controlling the user interface of the cellular phone to perform any of these operations. Therefore, 15 new claims 13-16 should each be patentable over the cited prior art. Acceptance of new claims 13-16 is respectfully requested.

In view of the above statements in favor of patentability, the applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

20

Appl. No. 10/709,937  
Amdt. dated November 17, 2005  
Reply to Office action of September 07, 2005

Sincerely yours,

5

*Winston Hsu*

Date: November 17, 2005

Winston Hsu, Patent Agent No. 41,526

P.O. BOX 506, Merrifield, VA 22116, U.S.A.

Voice Mail: 302-729-1562

Facsimile: 806-498-6673

10 e-mail : [winstonhsu@naipo.com](mailto:winstonhsu@naipo.com)

Note: Please leave a message in my voice mail if you need to talk to me. (The time in D.C. is 13 hours behind the Taiwan time, i.e. 9 AM in D.C. = 10 PM in Taiwan.)